Simulating dendritic growth with convection

J.C. Heinrich^{a,*}, D.R. Poirier^b, P. Zhao^c

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA ^b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, and ^c Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 85721, USA

Abstract

Starting with the effect of forced flows on the morphologies of growing dendrites, previous work on simulating dendritic growth with convection is summarized. In our work a fixed mesh for the temperature and a conformable mesh for velocity and concentration in a binary alloy are used; this method captures the morphology and motion of the complex solid-liquid interface. Convection is driven by thermo-solutal buoyancy and solidification contraction. Examples include: the natural convection near a dendrite of a pure substance growing in its under-cooled liquid; the dendritic growths of a pure substance and an alloy in their undercooled liquids, in which the convection is driven by buoyancy and solidification contraction; and the effects of convection driven by thermosolutal buoyancy and solidification during the directional solidification of an alloy. Solidification contraction dominates the convection pattern, when the concentration of the alloy-element is dilute.

Keywords: Dendritic solidification; Binary alloys; Interface tracking

1. Introduction

Numerical modeling of dendritic growth without convection has been studied for two decades, using the phase-field method, the level-set method and explicit interface-tracking methods. The extension of these methods to include convection, however, is more recent. For pure substances, Tönhardt et al. [1] used a phasefield to simulate dendritic growth with forced flow and saw that growth of the side-branches was promoted on the upstream side. Tong et al. [2] also simulated the effects of forced flow on dendrite-growth using phasefield methods. Al-Rawahi et al. [3] and Udaykumar et al. [4] did simulations involving forced flow using fronttracking. Three-dimensional simulations of equiaxed dendrites of pure substances in forced convection were reported by Jeong et al. [5] and Boettinger et al. [6].

Simulations of dendritic growth with natural convection are few. Bänsch et al. [7] used a sharp interface for thermal convection around equiaxed dendrites. Tönhardt et al. [8] used a phase-field method. For small under-coolings, convection has a profound effect on dendrites; for larger under-coolings, the influence of thermal convection is small.

Simulation of non-dilute alloys is challenging even with no convection because of widely different length and time scales in the energy and mass transport and the partitioning of solute between phases with a strong dependence of the liquidus temperature on concentration [9]. Convection further confounds the problem. To deal with flow and moving boundaries various meshes have been used: fixed Cartesian-meshes and adaptive ones. The former include diffuse-interface methods, where the moving interface has a finite thickness of a few grid spacings [2,3,10], and the sharp interface methods, where interface conditions (thermodynamic and kinetic constraints) are applied directly [11]. Adaptive meshes may or may not conform to the moving interface. These comprise: (1) conformal mapping for simple geometries [12]; (2) node/mesh-moving methods that preserve the mesh structure [13]; and (3) re-meshing as the interface moves [14]. Others have used adaptive meshes that are locally refined and regenerated [5,8] or a coarse mesh, which is refined in the regions of steep gradients [15].

With finite-differences, a Cartesian-mesh has drawbacks: it is difficult to locally refine the grid, unless a fine grid is used throughout; and applying interface-conditions is difficult. In the generalized immersed boundary

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 (505) 277 6277; Fax: +1 (505) 277 1571; E-mail: heinrich@unm.edu

method [10], the forcing term is iteratively calculated and interpolated to the nodes until the velocity agrees with the interface velocity. Finite volumes with sharp interface were used by Udaykumar et al. [11], but the method can produce inaccuracies [16]. An adaptiveconformal mesh can be refined near the interface, and interface-conditions can be applied precisely. The tradeoff is the need to regenerate the mesh every time-step and to interpolate to the new mesh.

2. Meshing for the finite-element method

We use a sharp-interface, finite-element model to simulate dendritic solidification of binary alloys with convection. The model was applied to dendritic growth of alloys without convection in Zhao et al. [14]. An adaptive mesh (very fine at the interface) is used to solve the concentration and momentum equations. The solidliquid interface is explicitly tracked, and the interfacial conditions are applied directly [17]. The energy equation is solved on a fixed mesh of bilinear/triangular elements. The method requires very efficient re-meshing and interpolation between two different meshes [14,18,19]. Convection, including that driven by contraction and both thermal and solutal buoyancy, has been modeled.

We validated simulations for classical scenarios: for flow past a stationary cylinder for Reynolds numbers up to 100 we achieved excellent agreement with Fornberg [20]; for flow induced by an oscillating circular cylinder we produced results that compared almost exactly with the experimental data of Dütsch et al. [21]; and for the Benard instability we reproduced, almost exactly, the critical Rayleigh number and obtained excellent agreement with experiments [22]. Dendritic-growth with thermal convection was validated using the work of Tönhardt et al. [8].

Details regarding the tracking scheme and interaction between the moving interface, without convection, and the fixed mesh are in [9,14,17]. For dendritic growth into an undercooled pure melt, the model was tested against solvability theory in predicting the tip growth velocity. The model also predicts the outcomes of the linear stability theory of plane-front solidification. Buoyed by all these calculations, the present model is deemed appropriate for simulating dendritic solidification with convection.

3. Simulations of dendritic growth with convection

Figure 1 is an example of thermal convection near a dendrite that is growing in an under-cooled liquid. Thermal buoyancy, caused by latent heat release, forms two vortices above the crystal, which leads to the



Fig. 1. Isotherms around a growing dendrite of succinonitrile showing the effects of natural convection: (a) full computational domain; (b) same as (a) but enlarged and near the dendrite.

corresponding isotherms. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) compare the equiaxial dendrites of a pure substance and counterpart alloy when there is no convection. With convection caused by solidification contraction, growth of the side branches is more pronounced (Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)). Figure 3 shows directional solidification of a dilute alloy with thermo-solutal convection. The solidification contraction drastically changes the convection from recirculating cells (Fig. 3(a)) to flow downwards (Fig. 3(b)).

4. Conclusions

To capture the morphology of growing dendrites using finite element methods, a conformable mesh for velocity and concentration and a fixed mesh for temperature have been used. The technique is robust enough to reproduce convection and solidification in many different cases of known results and to study coupling of natural convection and dendritic growths of pure substances or alloys growing in their under-cooled liquids or under directional solidification conditions. The convection can be driven by thermosolutal-buoyancy and solidification contraction. The contraction dominates the convection pattern when the concentration of the alloy element is dilute.



Fig. 2. Comparison of interface morphology in equi-axial solidification of a pure substance and an alloy with and without contractioninduced convection: (a) pure substance without convection; (b) alloy without convection; (c) pure substance with convection; (d) alloy with convection.



Fig. 3. Dendrites and velocity vectors in directional solidification of Pb-0.2wt%Sb alloy with thermosolutal convection: (a) at 3.4 s without contraction; and (b) at 3.4 s with contraction.

References

- Tönhardt R, Amberg G. Dendritic growth of randomly oriented nuclei in a shear flow. J Crystal Growth 2000; 213:161–187.
- [2] Tong X, Beckermann C, Karma A, Li Q. Phase field simulation of dendritic crystal growth in a forced flow. Phys Rev 2000;E63,061601:1–16.
- [3] Al-Rawahi N, Tryggvason G. Numerical simulation of

dendritic solidification with convection: three-dimensional flow. J Comput Phys 2004;194:677–696.

- [4] Udaykumar HS, Marella S, Krishnan S. Sharp-interface simulation of dendritic growth with convection: benchmarks. Int J Heat Mass Transfer 2003;46:2615–2627.
- [5] Jeong J-H, Goldenfeld N, Dantzig JA. Phase field model for three dimensional dendritic growth with fluid flow. Phys Rev 2001; E64,041602:1–14.
- [6] Boettinger WJ, Warren JA, Beckermann C, Karma A.

Phase-field simulation of solidification. Annual Rev Material Res 2001;32:163–194.

- [7] Bansch E, Schmidt A. Simulation of dendritic crystal growth with thermal convection. Interfacial Free Boundaries 2000;2:95–115.
- [8] Tönhardt R, Amberg G. Simulation of natural convection effects on succinonitrile crystals, Phys Rev E 2000; 62(1):828–836.
- [9] Zhao P, Heinrich JC, Poirier DR. Stability of numerical simulations of dendritic solidification. JSME Int J Ser B 2003;46:586–592.
- [10] Fadlun EA, Verzicco R, Orlandi P, Mohd-Yusof J. Combined immersed boundary finite-difference methods for three-dimensional complex flow simulations. J Comput Phys 2000;161:35–60.
- [11] Udaykumar HS, Mittal R, Rampunggoon P, Karma A. A sharp interface Cartesian grid method for simulating flows with complex moving boundaries. J Comput Phys 2001; 174:345–380.
- [12] Yeah GH, de Vahl Davis G, Leonardi GE, de Groh III HC, Yao M. A numerical and experimental study of natural convection and interface shape in crystal growth. J Crystal Growth 1997;173:492–502.
- [13] Dari EA, Buscaglia G. Topics on finite element meshes for problems with moving boundaries. In: K Morgan, E Oate, J Periaux, J Penlire, OC Zienkiewicz, editors, Finite Elements in Fluids, New Trends and Applications, Part I. Barcelona: CIMNE, 1993, pp. 726–735.

- [14] Zhao P, Venere M, Heinrich JC, Poirier DR. Modeling dendritic growth of a binary alloy. J Comput Phys 2003; 188:434–461.
- [15] Lan CW, Liu CC, Hsu CM. An adaptive finite volume method for incompressible heat flow problems in solidification. J Comput Phys 2002;178:464–497.
- [16] Linnick MN. A high order immersed boundary method for unsteady incompressible flow calculation. Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, 2003, p. 107.
- [17] Zhao P, Heinrich JC. Front-tracking finite element method for dendritic solidification. J Comput Phys 2001; 173:765–796.
- [18] Rivara MC, Venere MJ. Cost analysis of the longest-side refinement algorithm for triangulations. Eng Comput 1996;12:224–234.
- [19] Zhao P, Heinrich JC, Poirier DR. Fixed mesh fronttracking methodology for finite element simulations. Int J Numer Methods Eng 2004; 61:928–948.
- [20] Fornberg B. A numerical study of steady viscous flow past a circular cylinder. J Fluid Mech 1980;98:819–855.
- [21] Dütsch H, Durst F, Becker S, Lienhart H. Low-Reynoldsnumber flow around an oscillating circular cylinder at low Keulegan-Carpenter numbers. J Fluid Mech 1998; 360:249–271.
- [22] Chandrasekbar S. Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability. New York: Dover Publications, 1961, Ch. 2.