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#### Abstract

This paper presents a simple formulation to treat large deflections in 2-D frames by the finite element method. The present formulation does not use the concept of displacement; it considers position as the real variable of the problem. The strain determination is done directly from the proposed positional concept. A non-dimensional space is created and relative configurations are used to directly calculate the strain energy and its derivatives at general points. The initial configuration is assumed as the basis of calculation, i.e. Hooke's law relates reference stress and engineering strain. Reissner Kinematics are employed, i.e. initial plane cross-sections remain plane after deformation and angles are independent of the slope of central line. Some static and dynamic examples are presented in order to show the accuracy of the proposed technique.
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## 1. Introduction

The increasing search for economy and optimal material application leads to the conception of very flexible structures. As a consequence, the equilibrium analysis in the non-deformed position is no more acceptable for most of applications.

Various researchers have presented important contributions regarding finite element procedures; see [ $1,2,3,4,5]$. These researches are very important to the development of the human knowledge on conceptions on geometric non-linear analysis of structures.

In this study, as in Coda and Greco [6] for Bernoulli Kinematics, a simple position description language is used to present a geometrical non-linear formulation for Reissner Kinematics. This position description uses an intermediate non-dimensional space that allows defining a non-linear 'engineering' strain measure calculated from relative fiber length for different positions of the analyzed body.

The principle of minimum potential energy taken in Lagrangian Description is applied, considering a simple linear hyper-elastic constitutive relation. Reissner

[^0]Kinematics are adopted, so the shear deformation effect in bending is considered. Examples show the good result of the proposed technique, other analytical and numerical solutions are used as reference.

## 2. Positional formulation

For simplicity, only the static formulation is presented here.

The principle of minimum potential energy is written using position and considering conservative elastic problems as
$\Pi=U_{e}-\mathbf{P}$
where $\Pi$ is the total potential energy, $U_{e}$ is the strain energy and $P$ is the potential energy of the applied concentrated forces.

The strain energy can be written for the reference volume $V_{0}$ as
$U_{e}=\int_{V_{0}} u_{e} d V_{0}=\int_{V_{0}} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{e} \varepsilon_{e} d V_{0}$
where $\sigma_{e}$ is defined here as the 'engineering stress', i.e.
the energy conjugate of the proposed 'non-linear engineering strain' $\varepsilon_{e}$ by Coda and Greco [6]. The potential energy of applied forces is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=F_{j} \Psi_{j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi$ is the set of positions independent of each other, which may be occupied by a point of the body. The total potential energy is written as
$\Pi=\int_{V_{0}} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{e} \varepsilon_{e} d V_{0}-F_{j} \Psi_{j}$
In order to perform numerically the integral indicated in Eq. (4), it is necessary to map the geometric approximation of the body in study and to know its relation with the strain measurement adopted. Figure 1 shows the reference configuration $\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right)$, the actual configuration $\left(B_{1}\right)$ and the non-dimensional space that provides a 'bridge' between $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$. The reference configuration adopted is the non-deformed position of body. In Fig. 1, $A^{I}$ is the gradient of the mapping between the nondimensional space and $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ and $A^{I I}$ is the same for $\mathrm{B}_{1}$.

A generic point position ( $x, y$ ) inside the element, for $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{1}$, can be written as function of the nondimensional coordinates, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right)=\left(x_{m}^{i}, y_{m}^{i}\right)+\frac{h}{2} \eta(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)^{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{m}^{i}, y_{m}^{i}\right)$ is a point in the central line, $h$ is the element width, $(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)^{i}$ is a unity vector in the plane of a generic cross section, and $\eta$ is one of the nondimensional coordinates.

By deriving Eq. (5) regarding the non-dimensional space coordinates, the elements of $A_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $A_{\text {II }}$ can be written as

$$
A_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11}^{i} & A_{12}^{i}  \tag{6}\\
A_{21}^{i} & A_{22}^{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d x^{i}}{d \xi} & \frac{d x^{i}}{d \eta} \\
\frac{d y^{i}}{d \xi} & \frac{d y^{i}}{d \eta}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Fig. 1. Auxiliary non-dimensional space and simple mapping.
where,
$A_{11}^{i}=\frac{d x_{m}}{d \xi}-\frac{h}{2} \eta \frac{d \theta}{d \xi} \cos \theta$
$A_{12}^{i}=-\frac{h}{2} \sin \theta$
$A_{21}^{i}=\frac{d x_{m}}{d \xi}-\frac{h}{2} \eta \frac{d \theta}{d \xi} \sin \theta$
$A_{12}^{i}=\frac{h}{2} \cos \theta$
The index ' $i$ ', Eq. (5) through Eq. (10), denotes the number of the transformation, i.e. $i=\mathrm{I} \Rightarrow$ non-dimensional space to reference configuration $\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}\right)$ and $i=\mathrm{II} \Rightarrow$ non-dimensional space to current configuration $\left(B_{1}\right)$.

The mapping stretches $\lambda_{t}^{i}$ and $\lambda_{n}^{i}$ can be calculated by following the reference directions $\xi$ and $\eta$, defined here by the unit vectors $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{M}}_{t}=[1,0]^{T}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{M}}_{n}=[0,1]^{T}$ shown in Fig. 1 as follows:
$\lambda_{t}^{i}=\lambda\left(\vec{M}_{t}\right)=\left|A^{i}\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right]\right|=\sqrt{\left(A_{11}^{i}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{21}^{i}\right)^{2}}$
$\lambda_{n}^{i}=\lambda\left(\vec{M}_{n}\right)=\left|A^{i}\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right|=1$
In this formulation the third direction is considered nondeformable and therefore the principal direction $\lambda_{3}=1$. The angle $\alpha$ (Fig. 1) between vectors $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{m}}_{t}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{m}}_{n}$, after deformation, is easily calculated, as
$\alpha=\arccos \left\{\frac{\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[A_{I I}^{T} A_{I I}\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right.}{\lambda_{t}^{I I} \lambda_{n}^{I I}}\right\}=\arccos \left\{\frac{A_{11}^{I I} A_{12}^{I I}+A_{21}^{I I} A_{22}^{I I}}{\sqrt{\left(A_{11}^{I I}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{21}^{I I}\right)^{2}}}\right\}$

The distortion occurring when the body changes from configuration $B_{0}$ to $B_{1}$ can be simply calculated as follows:
$\gamma_{n t}=\alpha-\frac{\pi}{2}$
And the stretches $\lambda_{t}$ and $\lambda_{n}$ from $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ to $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ are given by
$\lambda_{t}=\frac{\lambda_{t}^{I I}}{\lambda_{t}^{I}}=\frac{\sqrt{\left(A_{11}^{I I}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{21}^{I I}\right)^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(A_{11}^{I}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{21}^{I}\right)^{2}}}$
$\lambda_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{n}^{I I}}{\lambda_{n}^{I}}=1$
Finally, the engineering strains are calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{t}=\lambda_{t}-1  \tag{17}\\
& \varepsilon_{n}=\lambda_{n}-1=0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{n}$ are the 'non-linear engineering strains' related, respectively, to $\vec{T}$ and $\vec{N}$ directions in the initial configuration, $\mathrm{B}_{0}$. Therefore, the specific strain energy for a simple linear constitutive law, is given by
$u_{e}=\frac{1}{2} E\left(\varepsilon_{t}^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{t n}^{2}}{2}\right)$
where $E$ is the young modulus of the material.
Using Eq. (19) into Eq. (2), the integral of the total strain energy becomes
$U_{e}=\int_{V_{0}} \frac{1}{2} E\left(\varepsilon_{t}^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{t n}^{2}}{2}\right) d V_{0}$
Therefore, the total potential energy of the system (see Eq. (4)) can be written as follows:
$\Pi=\int_{V_{0}} \frac{1}{2} E\left(\varepsilon_{t}^{2}+\frac{\gamma_{t n}^{2}}{2}\right) d V_{0}-F_{j} \Psi_{j}$
where $V_{0}$ is the volume of the reference configuration $\mathrm{B}_{0}$.
The strategy for minimizing Eq. (21) employing FEM is performed in the next section.

It is important to emphasize that $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}}$ gives $\sigma_{t}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\partial \gamma_{\mathrm{tn}}}$ gives, $\tau_{t n}$, which are the nominal or engineering stress components.

## 3. The numerical method

The proposed technique is similar to any finite element method except the determination of strain, where the auxiliary space is used in an original fashion.

Dividing the body into finite elements the variables in Eqs. (9) (10) along the central line of the element, i.e. $x_{m}$, $y_{m}$ and $\theta$ are approximated as follows:
$x_{m}=\Phi_{i} X_{i}$
$y_{m}=\Phi_{i} Y_{i}$
$\theta=\Phi_{i} \Theta_{i}$
where the vectors $X, Y$ and $\Theta$ are nodal variables and vector $\Phi$ are the set of shape functions. Besides, the angle $\theta$ is not the first derivative of the transversal displacement as in the Euler-Bernoulli hypothesis.

Substituting Eqs. (27), (28) and (29) in Eqs. (9) (10), it becomes:
$x=\Phi_{i} X_{i}-\frac{h}{2} \eta \sin \left(\Phi_{i} \Theta_{i}\right)$
$y=\Phi_{i} Y_{i}+\frac{h}{2} \eta \cos \left(\Phi_{i} \Theta_{i}\right)$
In the same way, substituting the variables approxima-
tions (Eq. (27) to Eq. (29)) in gradient mapping expressions, i.e. Eq. (12) to Eq. (15), gives:
$A_{11}^{i}=\beta_{j} X_{j}^{i}-\frac{h}{2} \eta\left(\beta_{j} \Theta_{j}^{i}\right) \cos \left(\Phi_{k} \Theta_{k}^{i}\right)$
$A_{12}^{i}=-\frac{h}{2} \eta \sin \left(\Phi_{k} \Theta_{k}^{i}\right)$
$A_{21}^{i}=\beta_{j} Y_{j}^{i}-\frac{h}{2} \eta\left(\beta_{j} \Theta_{j}^{i}\right) \sin \left(\Phi_{k} \Theta_{k}^{i}\right)$
$A_{12}^{i}=\frac{h}{2} \eta \cos \left(\Phi_{k} \Theta_{k}^{i}\right)$
where,
$\beta_{j}=\frac{d \Phi_{j}}{d \xi}$
The Total Potential Energy in Eq. (26) can be expressed as a function of the position coordinates, that is, $\Pi \rightarrow f\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}, \Theta_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{2}, \Theta_{2}, X_{3}, Y_{3}, \Theta_{3}\right)$. Therefore, the strategy is to minimize Eq. (26) related to the nodal parameters in order to find out the equilibrium position of the body.

Or, in compact notation,
$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial p_{i}}=g_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)=f_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)-F_{i}=0$
where $p_{i}$ is the vector of the nodal position.
In vector representation one has:
$g(p, F)=0$
The vector function $g(p)$ is non-linear regarding the nodal parameters ( $p$ and $F$ ). To solve Eq. (38) one can use the Newton-Raphson procedure.

In the next section, numerical examples are shown and compared to the literature in order to validate the proposed technique.

## 4. Numerical examples

### 4.1. Pinned fixed diamond frame

The following properties are adopted to run the problem: $L=1, E=1, I=1$ and $A=1000$. Figure 2 shows the diamond frames and the measured 'displacements'. Displacements are calculated here by the difference between positions to allow comparisons with Mattiasson [7]. Symmetry is considered.

Twenty finite elements were used to run this problem. The maximum achieved errors are $1 \%$ in tension and $0.3 \%$ in compression. There is no measurable difference between the results obtained here and those obtained by Coda and Greco [6].


Fig. 2. Diamond frame, static scheme for tension $(P>0)$ and compression $(P<0)$ situations.



Fig. 3. Flexible spin-up maneuver input data.


Fig. 4. Displacement $U_{1}$.

### 4.2. Spin-up maneuver

The second numerical example is a simple fixed flexible beam and is a benchmark of non-linear dynamic formulations (see Fig. 3). Ten finite elements are used in the discretization. In Fig. 4 the displacement $U_{1}$ is shown. A consistent mass matrix is used to solve this problem.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper a consistent and simple formulation is proposed to solve geometrically non-linear plane frame problems considering Reissner Kinematics. In order to show the didactic possibilities of the technique, a simple engineering language is used. The analyzed examples,
mainly the second, show that shear effects are of less importance for the analysis of very deformable structures, but independent rotating and Cartesian position approximations, achieved using Reissner Kinematics, bring an important improvement to the non-linear analysis of frames by the position description method. The formulation developed can be used for practical applications and presents good convergence and accuracy.
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